



March 23, 2021

**Addendum Number One**  
**Orchard Avenue Corridor Study**  
**RFQ-21-03142**

This Addendum to the Request for Qualifications (RFQ) for the above referenced project supersedes all contrary and conflicting information which is hereby supplemented or revised in certain particulars as follows:

**Questions:**

- 1. Section 1.15 indicates a “Final Study” due date of 8-31-21. It is not clear if that refers specifically to the study portion only, or to the overall project (“Orchard Ave Corridor Study”)? Please clarify if you are expecting 30% plans by 8-31-21?**

*A1. Section 1.15 is revised as follows, Final Study and 30% Design plans are expected by 10/29/21*

- 2. Under Section 1.5 we noted the need to be on a plan holder list to be notified of Addenda, but it wasn’t clear to us if downloading the RFQ automatically put us on the list or not. Can you clarify how to be added to the plan holder list?**

*A2. Mesa County no longer keeps a plan holder’s list. The second paragraph of Section 1.5 should state:*

*Be aware, if the Offeror has obtained this RFP from any source other than directly from Mesa County, and does not check the web site for any addenda, Mesa County is not responsible for errors in the submittal which may result in submitting a non-responsive proposal.*

- 3. Related to Attachment B Hourly Rate Schedule, does the County want just the prime consultant’s rates or should all subconsultant rate sheets also be included in the submittal?**

*A3. We are mostly interested in the prime's hourly rate as that is who we would be negotiating with for any possible change orders.*

All remaining requirements of the Request for Qualifications remain unchanged.

Provided by Erik Borschel, PE, Staff Engineer

Please note that as stated in Section 1.7 of the Request for Qualifications a copy of this addendum, signed as acknowledged, must be included with your proposal. Failure to include a signed copy may result in rejection of your proposal.

---

Acknowledged By